RG104 E-1 Box 188

The Dryfoos Chemical Co.
DRYFOOS & RICHMOND,
Manufacturing Chemists,
SMELTERS and REFINERS,
COR. SENECA AND CANAL STREET.

RECEIVED
NOV 3 1894
U. S. MINT, PHILA.

Cleveland, O. Nov. 2nd 1894

The Director of U.S. Mint
Phila. Pa.

Honored Sir:- We are in receipt of the enclosed memorandum. and are greatly surprised at the figures.
We have sent, indirectly, to the mint. a number of nuggets of gold prepared in the same manner and have never received a report, where the fineness is stated as less than 984. and only one that low.
We have proof that the gold sent you was very very much finer than claimed in this report; besides, it was a physical and chemical impossibility for the gold sent you to have contained the ammount of impurities as claimed. The color was that of pure gold. When heated to redness & allowed to cool it showed not the slightest change in color. Alloys have been made by a large number of jewelers with this same lot of gold and the Karat obtained was just as is obtained by use of pure gold. and would certainly show a difference of nearly 2 Kt. if gold was as claimed in report.
We would not think anything of a report showing slight impurities. but here the difference is too apparent. and we think same will bear investigation.

Yours truly
The Dryfoos Chemical Co.
By D. A. Dryfoos. Pres.

No 1362.

RG104 E-1 Box 188

Cleveland. O,
Nov. 2. 1894

The Dryfoos Chemical Co

Complaine of insufficient
[illegible] for Gold Deposit

Rec'd
Nov. 3. 1894

RG104

Have made a re-cutting from the deposit, assayed with the same result as reported.

Jacob Rockefeller
Assayer

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 188

[Abstract:] Complains of insufficient returns for gold deposit.

The Dryfoos Chemical Co.
Cleveland, O.
November 2, 1894

The Director of U.S. Mint
Phila. Pa.

Honored Sir: -

We are in receipt of the enclosed memorandum, and are greatly surprised at the figures. We have sent, indirectly, to the mint, a number of nuggets of gold prepared in the same manner and have never received a report where the fineness is stated as less than 984, and only one that low. We have proof that the gold sent you was very very much finer than claimed in this report. Besides, it was a physical and chemical impossibility for the gold sent you to have contained the amount of impurities as claimed. The color was that of pure gold. When heated to redress & allowed to cool it's showed not the slightest change in color. Alloys have been made by a large number of jewelers with this same lot of gold and the Karat obtained was just as is obtained by use of pure gold, and would certainly show a difference of nearly 2kt. If gold was as claimed in report.

We would not think anything of a report showing slight impurities, but here the difference is too apparent, and we think same will bear investigation.

Yours truly,
The Dryfoos Chemical Co.
By L.A. Dryfoos, Pres.

No. 1362

[Enclosed note:]

Have made a re-cutting form the deposit. Assayed with the same result as reported.

Jackob Eckfeldt
Assayer